Rosette modes of oscillations in rotating stars as a new aspect of rotation-pulsation interaction

#### Masao Takata<sup>1</sup> Hideyuki Saio<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Astronomy, School of Science, University of Tokyo <sup>2</sup>Astronomical Institute, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University

11 Jul. 2014

### Introduction: what are rosette modes? discovered by Ballot et al. (2012) in numerical calculations

 $\Omega = 0.2\Omega_{\rm K}, \omega = 1.7195$ 

a class of eigenmodes found in rotating stars in the g-mode frequency range outside of the inertial domain ( $\sigma > 2\Omega$ )

#### rosette pattern:

characteristic structure of the kinetic-energy density distribution on the meridional plane

 $10^{-1}$  $10^{-2}$  $10^{-3}$  $\Omega = 0.1 \Omega_{\rm K}, \, \omega = 1.3712$  $\Omega = 0.1 \Omega_{\rm K}, \, \omega = 1.3717$ 

 $\Omega = 0.2\Omega_{\rm K}, \omega = 1.7235$ 

(polytropic model with index 3) ロトス部トスヨトスヨ

 $10^{0}$ 

### Ordinary modes vs. rosette modes



 $\ell = 25, n = -37, m = 0$ 

distributions of the kinetic-energy density on the meridional plane multiplied by  $r^2$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### How are rosette modes formed?

#### Takata & Saio (2013)

 close degeneracies among high-degree g modes (in the absence of rotation)

asymptotic formula: 
$$\omega_{n,\,\ell} \propto rac{\sqrt{\ell\,(\ell+1)}}{|n|}$$

 $(\omega_{n,\ell} \approx \text{const. if } \ell/n \text{ is fixed for } \ell \gg 1.)$ 

- ► interaction among the closely-degenerate eigenmodes (with the same parity of ℓ) caused by the second-order effects of the Coriolis force
- described by quasi-degenerate *perturbation* theory: eigenfunctions of rosette modes can be expressed by linear combinations of eigenfunctions in the non-rotating limit.

### **Close degeneracies**

 $\ell$ - $\omega$  diagram of the polytropic model with index 3



Asymptotic analysis of rosette modes (1)

#### Takata (2014)

close degeneracies:

 $an + b\ell = f$ (a, b, f: integers)

rosette structure:

$$\frac{K\pi}{2} - Z(r) \pm \theta \pm \frac{q\pi}{2} = 0, \ \pi$$
  
where  
$$Z(r) = \int_{r_1}^r \frac{1}{s} \left[ \frac{N^2(s)}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right]^{1/2} ds$$
  
$$K = \frac{2b}{a}: \text{ integer}$$
  
$$q: \text{ real number } (0 < q < 1)$$



# Asymptotic analysis of rosette modes (2)

rosette patterns and close degeneracies

two parameters K and q to characterize the rosette patterns:

• 
$$K = \frac{2b}{a}$$
: integer  
 $\Rightarrow \Delta \Theta = \frac{K\pi}{2}$ 

► q: real number (0 < q < 1)⇒  $\Delta \Phi = \frac{q\pi}{2}$ 



(K = 3, q = 0.35) □ ▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≤ ≫ < ⊘ < ♡

### Rosette modes in a realistic stellar model (1)

- ► 5  $M_{\odot}$  ZAMS model with X = 0.70 and Z = 0.02(a model of SPB stars)
- many high-degree g modes excited by the iron opacity bump at T ≈ 2 × 10<sup>5</sup> K without rotation (the OP opacity is used)
- a family of the close degeneracy that satisfies 2n + 3l = 1 (K = 3)

(modes with  $\ell=7,\,9,\,\cdots,\,17$  are unstable)



### Rosette modes in a realistic stellar model (2)

nonadiabatic mode analysis with rotation [cf. Lee & Baraffe (1995)]

- unstable rosette modes found for  $\Omega \lesssim 0.25 \Omega_{
  m K} \; (v_{
  m eq} \lesssim 150 \, {
  m km})$
- only modes with considerable contribution from unstable components (in the non-rotation limit) are excited.
- the damping is caused by stable components (particularly those with high degrees).



## Rosette modes in a realistic stellar model (3)

angular momentum transport by waves [cf. Lee (2013)]

angular momentum conservation (Lagrangian mean-flow treatment):

$$\left\langle 
ho 
ight
angle rac{d\left\langle I_{z}
ight
angle }{dt}=-{
m div}\left\langle ec{F}
ight
angle$$

▶  $l_{\underline{Z}}$ : specific angular momentum about the rotation axis

•  $\vec{F}$ : angular momentum flux

$$\vec{F} = \frac{\partial p'}{\partial \phi} \vec{\xi} + \frac{\partial \Phi'}{\partial \phi} \left( \langle \rho \rangle \vec{\xi} + \frac{\nabla \Phi'}{4\pi G} \right)$$

•  $\langle f \rangle$ : azimuthal average in the mean-flow coordinates

effects on the local angular momentum:

	damping	excitation
prograde modes	+	_
retrograde modes	—	+

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

### Rosette modes in a realistic stellar model (4)

- ► no significant difference in the spherical averages of \$\langle \vec{F}\$\$ between rosette and ordinary modes
- conspicuous latitudinal dependence of div  $\left\langle \vec{F} \right\rangle$





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Summary

- formation: rosette modes are formed by the interaction of closely-degenerate eigenmodes with the same parity of the spherical degree; the interaction is caused by the second-order effect of the Coriolis force.
- asymptotic analysis: a simple relation can be derived to describe the rosette structures in terms of parameters that specify the close degeneracy.
- nonadiabatic calculation & angular momentum transport: some unstable rosette modes have been found in a model of SPB stars; those modes might contribute to the angular momentum transport in the stars in a unique way.

### Nonaxisymmetric rosette modes (1)

#### Saio & Takata (2014)

 the first-order effect of the Coriolis force need to be small for nonaxisymmetric rosette modes to form:

$$|C_{n,\ell}| \ll 1$$

 $[cf. \Delta \sigma = m(1 - C_{n,\ell})\Omega]$ 



## Nonaxisymmetric rosette modes (2)

rosette modes that arise from family D



clearer rosette patterns in retrograde modes (m < 0) than in prograde modes (m > 0)

## Gallery of rosette modes

multiple rosette modes arise from a family of the close degeneracy



distributions of the kinetic-energy density on the meridional plane multiplied by  $r^2$  for family A (with odd  $\ell$ ) of the polytropic model with index 3 at  $\Omega = 0.2\Omega_{\rm K}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### References

- Ballot, J. et al., 2012, ASP Conf. Ser., 462, 389
- Lee, U., 2013, PASJ, 65, 122
- Lee, U. & Baraffe, I., 1995, A&A, 301, 419
- Saio, H. & Takata, M., 2014, PASJ, 66, psu027

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Takata, M., 2014, PASJ, 66, in press
- Takata, M. & Saio, H., 2013, PASJ, 65, 68