The space photometry revolution CoRoT3-KASC7 joint meeting

HD 97658 and its super-Earth

Spitzer & MOST transit analysis and seismic modeling of the host star





Valerie Van Grootel (University of Liege, Belgium)

M. Gillon (U. Liege), D. Valencia (U. Toronto), N. Madhusudhan (U. Cambridge), D. Dragomir (UC Santa Barbara), and the Spitzer team

1. Introducing HD 97658 and its super-Earth

The second brightest star harboring a transiting super-Earth

HD 97658 (V=7.7, K=5.7)

- $T_{eff} = 5170 \pm 50 \text{ K}$ (Howard et al. 2011)
- [Fe/H] = $-0.23 \pm 0.03 \Rightarrow Z$
- d = 21.11 ± 0.33 pc ; from Hipparcos (Van Leeuwen 2007)

HD 97658 b, a transiting super-Earth

- Discovery by Howard et al. (2011) from Keck-Hires RVs:
 - $M_P \sin i = 8.2 \pm 1.2 M_{earth}$
 - $P_{orb} = 9.494 \pm 0.005 d$
- Transits discovered by Dragomir et al. (2013) with *MOST*: $R_p = 2.34 \pm 0.18 R_{earth}$



Valerie Van Grootel - CoRoT/Kepler July 2014, Toulouse

2. Modeling the host star HD 97658



+ the **age** of the star is the best proxy for the age of its planets (Sun: 4.57 Gyr, Earth: 4.54 Gyr)

- With Asteroseismology: T. Campante, V. Van Eylen's talks
- Without Asteroseismology: stellar evolution modeling

- d = 21.11 ± 0.33 pc, V = 7.7 ⇒ L_{*} = 0.355 ± 0.018 L_{sun}
- +T_{eff} from spectroscopy: R_{*} = 0.74 ± 0.03 R_{sun}
- Stellar evolution code CLES (Scuflaire et al. 2008)
- \Rightarrow M_{*}, age with T_{eff}, [Fe/H] and L_{*} as inputs (with 1 σ uncertainties)



α_{MLT}=1.8; no overshooting Mixture AGSS09 CEFF EoS Opacities OPAL05+Ferguson06 Several Y_{ini}

- $M_* = 0.77 \pm 0.05 M_{sun}$
- No constrain on age

- « Warm » Spitzer IRAC camera at 4.5µm
- As part of the program to search transits for low-mass planets found in RV (Programs 60027 and 90072, PI M. Gillon)
- 6h-long lightcurve acquired on Aug 10, 2013 after MOST's ephemeris



Blue dots: raw data Red curve: photometric model (= Spitzer systematics)







Spitzer fully confirms, within 1σ , the MOST ephemeris

4. The MCMC method to characterize HD 97658b

I used Monte-Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) code of Gillon et al. (2012), with jump parameters (those for which the chain is varying): •With uniform prior distribution: mid-transit time T_0 , transit depth dF, transit width W, P_{orb} ,... •With Gaussian prior distribution: stellar mass M_{*} (0.77±0.05 M_s), luminosity (0.355±0.018 L_s), T_{eff} (5170±50 K) and metallicity ([Fe/H]=-0.23±0.03)

Jump parameters \Rightarrow model to compare to data through a merit function



 Results: Probability Density Functions (PDFs) for each jump parameter + for derived parameters: planet mass, radius,...



171 Keck-Hires RVs + 1 Spitzer transit + 3 MOST transits

| Parameter | Symbol | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Jump parameters | | | |
| Jump parameter, uniform prior | | | |
| Transit depth, Spitzer | dF | 773 ± 42 | ppm |
| Transit width | W | 0.1187 ± 0.0012 | days |
| Mid-transit time-2450000 | T_0 | $6523.12540\substack{+0.00060\\-0.00056}$ | BJD_TDB |
| Impact parameter | $b' = a\cos i/R_*$ | $0.35\substack{+0.13\\-0.21}$ | R_* |
| Orbital period | Р | $9.4903\substack{+0.0016\\-0.0015}$ | days |
| Derived planet parameters | | | |
| Planet radius (at 4.5μ m) | R_P | $2.247\substack{+0.098\\-0.095}$ | R_\oplus |
| Planet mass | M_P | $7.55\substack{+0.83\\-0.79}$ | M_\oplus |
| Planet density | $ ho_P$ | $3.90\substack{+0.70\\-0.61}$ | ${ m g~cm^{-3}}$ |
| Planet surface gravity | $\log g_P$ | $3.166\substack{+0.059\\-0.061}$ | |
| Orbital inclination | i | $89.14\substack{+0.52\\-0.36}$ | deg |
| Orbital semi-major axis | a | $0.080\substack{+0.0017\\-0.0018}$ | AU |
| Orbital eccentricity | e | $0.078\substack{+0.057\\-0.053}$ | |
| Argument of the periastron | ω | 71^{+65}_{-63} | deg |
| RV orbital semi-amplitude | K | $2.73\substack{+0.26 \\ -0.27}$ | m/s |





CHEOPS: uncertainties on planet will come from the star PLATO and asteroseismology: star + planet < 5%

Note: Dragomir et al. (2013), with the same MOST light curves:

 $R_{P} = 2.34 \pm 0.18 R_{earth}$ (8%)

BUT they used spectroscopic log g and not L_{*} from Hipparcos

6. HD 97658b, a key object for super-Earth characterization

« True » super-Earth, water-world, mini-Neptune, dwarf gas planet ?



6. HD 97658b, a key object for super-Earth characterization

« True » super-Earth, water-world, mini-Neptune, dwarf gas planet ?

$$R_{p} = 2.247^{+0.098}_{-0.79} R_{earth}_{M_{earth}} = 3.90^{+0.70}_{-0.61} g cm^{-3}_{(\rho_{Earth} = 5.5 g cm^{-3})}_{(\rho_{Jupiter} = 1.3 g cm^{-3})}$$

cm⁻³)



Planet atmosphere (H. Knutson)

Hubble Space Telescope WFC3 (19 bandpasses in 1.1-1.6 µm)



Knutson et al. (2014) ArXiv1403.4602

Excluded:

(2σ...)

- Cloud-free solar and 50x solar composition atmosphere (red)
- Possibilities:
- Water atmosphere (blue)
- Solar composition atmosphere with cloud/hazes at 1 mbar (green)

- I computed oscillation adiabatic properties of stellar (consistent) models that respect the T_{eff}, L_{*}, [Fe/H] observational constraints
- Large separations $\Delta v = v_{n+1,0} v_{n,0}$ and small separations $\delta v = \delta v_{02} = v_{n,0} v_{n-1,2}$ are given here at their v_{max} 's (where the observed pulsation spectrum is expected to be)



Conclusion:

HD 97658b is a key transiting super-Earth

- HD 97658b is an intermediate density super-Earth ⇒ composition of such objects ? (internal composition ? Volatiles ? Thick atmosphere ?)
- Orbiting a bright star (V=7.7,K=5.7) ⇒ very important for future atmospheric characterization (JWST,...)
- Formation of such a planet ?
- Characterizing the host star (mass, radius, age) is essential

Future observations:

- Coming: 3 more transits with Spitzer (PI D. Dragomir)
- GAIA \Rightarrow very accurate distance, luminosity, and stellar radius (but not sufficient to have Y_{ini} and α_{MLT})
- CHEOPS & TESS: Accurate planet radius in visible
- Asteroseismic observations to improve the stellar mass and age \Rightarrow we need PLATO !