## ENSEMBLE ASTEROSEISMOLOGY SCALING LAWS

Josefina Montalbán





credit Christensen-Dalsgaard







#### • periods: minutes to hours



- periods: minutes to hours
- intrinsically damped, externally forced by turbulent convection



- periods: minutes to hours
- intrinsically damped, externally forced by turbulent convection
- amplitudes: ppm-tens of ppm



- periods: minutes to hours
- intrinsically damped,
  externally forced by turbulent convection
- amplitudes: ppm-tens of ppm
- acoustic modes: radial and non-radial



- periods: minutes to hours
- intrinsically damped, externally forced by turbulent convection
- amplitudes: ppm-tens of ppm
- acoustic modes: radial and non-radial
- in subgiants/giants:
  g-p mixed modes

## HRD OF SOLAR-LIKE PULSATORS BEFORE COROT & KEPLER

2 έ Hya • • ε Oph •  $\eta$  Ser 1 •  $\chi$  Eri ζ Her A η Βοο log L/L<sub>o</sub> Procyon A •  $\nu$  Ind HD 49933 β Vir 
 β Hydri
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
δ Eri HD 139211 HD 210302 μ Arae  $\alpha$  Cen A δ Pav 171 Pup 0 Sun τ Ceti • 70 Oph A  $\alpha$  Cen B 3.85 3.8 3.75 3.7 3.65  $\log T_{eff}$ 

Straka et al. 2006, Carrier et al. 2005, Guenther 2004, Kjeldsen et al. 2003, Di Mauro et al 2002, Christensen-Dalsgaard et al. 1995, Kjeldsen et al 1995, Provost et al. 2006, Claudi et al. 2005, Eggenberger et al. 2004, Martic et al. 2004, Eggenberger&Carrier 2006, Bedding et al. 2006, Carrier&Eggenberger 2006, Bouchy et al. 2005, Bazot et al. 2005, Bedding et al. 2001 Carrier & Bouchy 2001,2, ...



**CoRoT:** a few bright solar-like pulsators in the seismofield ~20000 giants in the exo-field 4 giants in NGC6633

#### Kepler: ~500 dwarfs & subgiants I 4000 giants giants in 4 clusters



#### SOLAR-LIKE PULSATIONS radial modes



#### SOLAR-LIKE PULSATIONS radial modes



#### SOLAR-LIKE PULSATIONS radial modes



# EVOLUTION OF DWARF AND SUB-GIANT





#### SOLAR-LIKE PULSATIONS non-radial modes



acoustic modes:

$$\nu_{n\ell} = \Delta \nu_0 \left( n + \frac{\ell}{2} + \epsilon_0 \right) + \delta \nu_{n\ell}$$



Tassoul 1980; Gough 1986

#### EVOLUTION OF GIANT SPECTRUM





 $v_{\text{max}}$  decreases

and complexity increases















Frequency modulo 7.81 μHz





Frequency modulo 3.53  $\mu$ Hz







 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Period\ spacing}\\ \Delta {\rm P} \end{array}$ 



#### ENSEMBLE SEISMOLOGY

#### average seismic parameters:



radius and mass estimates:

$$\left(\frac{R}{\mathrm{R}_{\odot}}\right) = \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{max}}}{\nu_{\mathrm{max},\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\Delta\nu_{\odot}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eff},\odot}}\right)^{0.5}$$

$$\left(\frac{M}{\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}\right) = \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{max}}}{\nu_{\mathrm{max},\odot}}\right)^{3} \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\Delta\nu_{\odot}}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eff},\odot}}\right)^{1.5}$$

Stello et al. 2009, Miglio et al. 2009, 13, Mosser et al. 2010, 11, Kallinger et al. 2010, Hekker et al. 2010, Chaplin et al. 2011 ...

### ENSEMBLE ASTEROSEISMOLOGY

- Global parameters (M, R): forward or based in grid of models
  Mosser et al. 2010, Kallinger et al 2010, Hekker et el. 10,11, Gai et al. 2011, Basu et al 2011, Chaplin et al 2014 ...
- Determination of log g spectroscopy σ<sub>logg</sub>=0.04 dex Gai et al. 2011, Miglio & Morel 2012, Creevey et al 2013, Morel et al. 2014
- Study of stellar populations: simple (stellar cluster) and multiple (Milky Way) Miglio et al 2009, 13, Hekker et al. 2009, Mosser et al 2010, 11, Chaplin et al 2011, Corsaro et al. 2012, Basu et al. 2011,

Stello 2010, 2011

Stellar cluster membership

Stello et al. 2010, 2011

- Mass loss in the RGB, from clusters Miglio et al. 2012
- Distance indicators
  Miglio et al. 2013



#### AGE FROM STELLAR MASS



#### GIANTS:

Age(RGB)~ Τ<sub>H</sub> T<sub>H</sub>~M/L L~Mη η~3.5 Age(RGB)~ M<sup>-2.5</sup>

Miglio 2012

#### AGE FROM STELLAR MASS



M+[Fe/H]: "chronometer" for evolved stars

Miglio 2012

#### AGE FROM STELLAR MASS



Main sequence evolutionary phase

Miglio 2012

## Constraining RGB mass loss

RGB mass loss rate:  $\frac{dM}{dt} = 1.27 \, 10^{-5} \, \eta \, M^{-1} L^{1.5} \, T_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$  Reimers' "law"



quantitative estimate of integrated RGB mass loss

## STELLAR POPULATIONS

CoRoT LRs: ~ 3000 stars (analysed) Mosser et al. 2010

Kepler data: ~ 14000 stars Hekker et al. 2011, Stello et al. 2013

CoRoT LRc01 + LRa01 : ~ 2000 stars with average global parameters





## STELLAR POPULATIONS

CoRoT LRs: ~ 3000 stars (analysed) Mosser et al. 2010

Kepler data: ~ 14000 stars Hekker et al. 2011, Stello et al. 2013

CoRoT LRc01 + LRa01 : ~ 2000 stars with average global parameters





## Differential population studies



Differential distribution of M in LRc01 et LRa01 LRc01 sample older than LRa01

 $Z_{LRa0I} < Z_{LRc0I}$ 

### Differential population studies



Miglio et al. 2013

## Challenges

Test seismic scaling relations:

$$\left(\frac{R}{\mathrm{R}_{\odot}}\right) = \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{max}}}{\nu_{\mathrm{max},\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\Delta\nu_{\odot}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eff},\odot}}\right)^{0.5}$$

$$\left(\frac{M}{\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}\right) = \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{max}}}{\nu_{\mathrm{max},\odot}}\right)^{3} \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\Delta\nu_{\odot}}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eff},\odot}}\right)^{1.5}$$

VS

models ( $\Delta v$ ) and/or independent measurements of R and M

#### TESTING SCALING RELATIONS

#### from models

Depends on: evolutionary state stellar mass



see also White et al. 2011

#### TESTING SCALING RELATIONS

#### from models

Depends on: evolutionary state stellar mass

and on chemical composition



## TESTING SCALING RELATIONS

empirical tests of scaling relations

e.g.

- a few nearby/CoRoT dwarfs and giants Bruntt et al. 2011, Miglio 2011, Bedding 2011
- interferometry Huber et al. 2012
- Kepler dwarfs+ Hipp parallaxes Silva Aguirre et al. 2012
- NGC6791: R and M

Miglio et al. 2012, Brogaard et al. 2012, Sandquist et al. 2013

• Eclipsing binaries



~ 4% for Radius ~10% for Mass

## Challenges

#### Age estimate: inherently model dependent

need to test stellar models!

can seismology help?

- evolutionary state
- near-core mixing

- RGB mass loss
- ...



#### why is it relevant to determine ev. state of a $\sim 10 R_{sun}$ giant ?

constraints:  $\Delta v$ ,  $v_{max}$ , [Fe/H],  $T_{eff}$ , ev. state

age estimates using PARAM (as in Da Silva et al. 2006, Nordstrom et al. 2004)



#### ENSEMBLE ASTEROSEISMOLOGY non-radial modes



#### ENSEMBLE ASTEROSEISMOLOGY non-radial mixed modes



#### ENSEMBLE ASTEROSEISMOLOGY non-radial mixed modes



## CONCLUSIONS

Scaling relations have an enormous potential, in particular to study stellar populations, but we need:

- We need to constraint/calibrate the scaling relations, for example EB, parallaxes
- To exploit the potential of scaling relations in the study of stellar populations we need chemical composition



APOGEE ( talk M. Pinsonneault) Gaia-ESO Survey (talk M. Valentini) GALAH-Hermes @ AAT



## Thank you !