# Formation and evolution of planetary systems:

What have we learnt from transit methods?

## **Clément Baruteau**

CNRS / IRAP, Toulouse

CoRoT & KASC joint symposium, Toulouse

8 July 2014

### Planet formation & evolution: transits highlights

### Image: Misaligned hot Jupiters

 $\rightarrow$  high-eccentricity migration, tides

### Close-in, compact multiplanet systems

 $\rightarrow$  in situ growth vs. disc migration

### Circumbinary planets

 $\rightarrow$  "extreme" planet formation

### Planets around post main sequence stars

 $\rightarrow$  impact of stellar evolution on close-in planets?

### Talk outline



### Image: Misaligned hot Jupiters

 $\rightarrow$  high-eccentricity migration, tides

### Close-in, compact multiplanet systems

 $\rightarrow$  in situ growth vs. disc migration

□ Circumbinary planets
→ "extreme" planet formation

 $\Box$  Planets around post main sequence stars  $\rightarrow$  impact of stellar evolution on close-in planets?



#### Rossiter-MacLaughlin effect

e.g., Winn+ 2005

#### Planet-starspot crossings

e.g., Sanchis-Ojeda+ 2011

Constraining true obliquity (ψ) with stellar spin axis angle (i<sub>s</sub>)
 e.g., Huber+ 2013, Chaplin+ 2013

#### OBSERVATIONS



# □ (Why) do **hot** Jupiters around **hot** stars tend to have **high obliquities?**

#### **OBSERVATIONS**



#### MODELS

# Disc migration is a natural source of aligned hot Jupiters

→ disc misaligned by nearby stars? Bate+ 2010, Batygin 2012

 $\rightarrow$  tidal flip of stellar axis? Cebron+ 2013, Barker & Lithwick 2014

# (Why) do **hot** Jupiters around **hot** stars tend to have **high obliquities**?

#### **OBSERVATIONS**



#### (Why) do hot Jupiters around hot stars tend to have high obliquities?



# Disc migration is a natural source of aligned hot Jupiters

→ disc misaligned by nearby stars? Bate+ 2010, Batygin 2012

 $\rightarrow$  tidal flip of stellar axis? Cebron+ 2013, Barker & Lithwick 2014

#### High-eccentricity migration followed by tidal circularization is a natural source of misaligned hot Jupiters

 $\rightarrow$  can <u>all</u> hot Jupiters form this way?

Triaud+ 2010, Albrecht + 2012, but see Rogers & Lin 2013, Lai 2012

#### □ Disc misaligned by nearby stars

#### □ High-eccentricity migration + tides



#### □ Disc misaligned by nearby stars

#### □ High-eccentricity migration + tides



 $\rightarrow$  disc migration needed to account for number of aligned hot Jupiters.

 $\rightarrow$  observations cannot (yet) distinguish between misalignement mechanisms

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

 $\rightarrow$  836 planets in 335 systems 66% of 2 planets, 22% of 3, 12% of 4 and more



 Many planet pairs are *not* in resonance, but those near resonances tend to have period ratios slightly greater than resonant

#### OBSERVATIONS

 $\rightarrow$  171 planets in 68 systems 66% of 2 planets, 23% of 3, 11% of 4 and more



□ Same trend for RV-detected multiple systems?

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

 $\rightarrow$  836 planets in 335 systems 66% of 2 planets, 22% of 3, 12% of 4 and more





#### **OBSERVATIONS**

 $\rightarrow$  836 planets in 335 systems 66% of 2 planets, 22% of 3, 12% of 4 and more



exoplanets.org (06/2014)

 Many planet pairs are *not* in resonance, but those near resonances tend to have period ratios slightly greater than resonant



#### □ In-situ growth of planet embryos

Hansen & Murray 2013; see also Raymond & Cossou 2014 and talk by Elisa Quintana



#### OBSERVATIONS

 $\rightarrow$  836 planets in 335 systems 66% of 2 planets, 22% of 3, 12% of 4 and more



exoplanets.org (06/2014)

□ Many planet pairs are *not* in resonance, but those near resonances tend to have period ratios slightly greater than resonant

MODELS

#### □ In-situ growth of planet embryos

□ Tidal dissipation of close-in resonant planetary systems Papaloizou 2011, Lithwick & Wu 2012, ...

#### **OBSERVATIONS**



 $\rightarrow$  836 planets in 335 systems 66% of 2 planets, 22% of 3, 12% of 4 and more



data extracted from exoplanets.org (06/2014)

□ Many planet pairs are *not* in resonance, but those near resonances tend to have period ratios slightly **greater** than resonant

#### □ In-situ growth of planet embryos

□ Tidal dissipation of close-in resonant planetary systems Papaloizou 2011, Lithwick & Wu 2012, ...

#### OBSERVATIONS

 $\rightarrow$  836 planets in 335 systems 66% of 2 planets, 22% of 3, 12% of 4 and more



Many planet pairs are *not* in resonance, but those near resonances tend to have period ratios slightly greater than resonant

### MODELS

#### □ In-situ growth of planet embryos

Tidal dissipation of close-in resonant planetary systems

 Disc-migration of partial gapopening planets
 Baruteau & Papaloizou 2013



Formation and evolution of planetary systems: what have we learnt from transit methods?

Many mechanisms contribute to the orbital evolution of planetary systems

 $\rightarrow$  disc and high-eccentricity migrations, interactions with host and nearby stars all play some role.

□ Importance of stellar evolution in planetary evolution

□ More observations to constrain evolution models  $\rightarrow$  CHEOPS (2017), TESS (2017), PLATO (2024)...